



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/675,194	•	09/29/2000	Gary D. Zimmerman	10001701-1	1444	
22878	7590	05/13/2005	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
		NOLOGIES, INC.	PHAM, THIERRY L			
INTELLE P.O. BOX		ROPERTY ADMINIS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
M/S DL42	29			2624		
LOVELA	ND, CO	80537-0599	DATE MAILED: 05/13/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/675,194	ZIMMERMAN, GARY	D.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Thierry L. Pham	2624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, reply within the statutory minimun riod will apply and will expire SIX (atute, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this community ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	, unication.				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on R	CE filed on 2/25/05.						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 1	This action is non-final.						
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□								
Applicati	on Papers	٠						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date	Pap (/08) 5) ☐ Noti	view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152 er:	2)				

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

- This action is responsive to the following communication: RCE filed on 4/6/05.
- Claims 9-20 are pending in application; Claims 1-8, 21-23 have been withdrawn.
- After Final Amendment filed on 2/25/05 had been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirst et al (U.S. 5930553), and in view of Fackler et al (U.S. 5729204).

Regarding claim 9, Hirst discloses a printer controller (printer controller 13, fig. 1) includes a first connector (wire connecting printer controller 13 with print engine 12, fig. 1) for coupling to a printer and a second connector (connector 15 connecting with host computer, fig. 1) for coupling to a source of data to be printed comprising:

- a random access memory (microcomputer 30 includes RAM device 31, fig. 3, col. 5, lines 38-52, in addition, memory 19 as shown in fig. 1 can also be incorporated into printer controller 13, col. 4, lines 45-67) for storing a non-resident printer (printer software, col. 5, lines 15-25) controller program;
- a processor (microcomputer 30, fig. 1) for executing computer program coupled to the random access memory; and
- dynamic loading program (printer controller 13 includes program for automatically detecting new/updated version of printer's software, col. 4, lines 45-67) for automatically (performs automatically without human intervention, col. 2, lines 40-50) managing the download of the non-resident printer controller program to the random access memory and for automatically determining whether the printer controller program is compatible with the print engine and the printer controller (automatically downloading and

Art Unit: 2624

determining the compatibility without human intervention, col. 2, lines 32-54, col. 3, lines 34-56, col. 4, lines 52-62, and col. 6, lines 12-15).

- a print controller ready data interface (wire 15 for receiving print data from host computer, fig. 1) for receiving print controller ready data (PCRD) from the source;
- a print engine ready data interface (wire connecting from printer controller 13 to print engine 12 for proving processed print data to print engine) for providing print engine ready data (PERD) to the printer, wherein the printer controller receives the print controller ready data and based thereon generates print engine ready data.

However, Hirst fails to explicitly teach printer controller 13 is disposed in a cable and external of the printer.

Fackler, in the same field of endeavor for controller, teaches a cable contains/includes a controller (cable 18 contains plurality of controllers, fig. 2, abstract, cols. 5-6) and external of printer (cable 18 is external of various devices, fig. 1, col. 2, lines 10-65).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Hirst as per teachings of Fackler by incorporated the printer controller (integrated circuit) of Hirst onto a cable as in fig. 5 of Fackler because of a following reason: (•) to allow/provide users of having the portable capability of implementing the printer controller of Hirst with different printing devices; (•) updating/upgrading new printer program increasing the printer's operating efficiency and to improve output quality performance.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Hirst with Fackler to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

Regarding claim 10, Hirst further discloses the printer controller of claim 9, wherein the dynamic loading program, when executing on the processor, selectively downloads (download new/updated version of printer controller program, fig. 5) from the source the non-resident printer controller program to the printer controller when it is determined that the current version of the printer controller resident in the random access memory is not valid (prior to download the new/updated version of printer controller

Art Unit: 2624

program, the printer controller 13 must determine and test whether the stored programs are valid, fig. 5, col. 2, lines 32-55).

Regarding claim 11, Hirst further discloses the printer controller of claim 9, wherein the dynamic loading program, when executing on the processor, selectively downloads (download new/updated version of printer controller program, fig. 5) from the source the non-resident printer controller program to the printer controller when it is determined that the current version of the printer controller program resident in the random access memory is one of the non-existent and corrupt (prior to download the new/updated version of printer controller program, the printer controller 13 must determine and test whether the stored programs are valid/existent, fig. 5, col. 2, lines 32-55).

Regarding claim 12, Hirst further discloses the printer controller of claim 10, wherein the printer controller program, when executing on the processor, receives print controller ready data and based thereon generates print engine ready data for controlling a print engine (printer controller 13 provides print engine ready data and transmits provided data to print engine, fig. 1, col. 4, lines 30-35).

Regarding claim 13, Hirst further discloses the printer controller of claim 9 further comprising: an integrity check module (printer controller including a microcontroller 30, fig. 1), when executing on the processor, for performing an integrity check on the printer controller program to determine whether the printer controller program is valid, re-installing the printer controller program from the source when the printer controller program is not valid (installing a new/updated version of printer controller program if the old printer controller program is incompatible and/or valid, fig. 5), performing compatibility tests to determine whether the printer controller program is compatible with the printer controller and a printing software, re-installing the printer controller program from the source when the printer controller program not compatible (prior to download and install the new/updated version of printer controller program, the

printer controller 13 must determine and test whether the stored programs are valid/existent/compatible, fig. 5, col. 2, lines 32-55) with the printer controller and the printing software.

Regarding claims 14-15, Hirst further discloses the printer controller program of claim 9 wherein the printer controller is embodied in a single integrated circuit (printer controller 13, fig. 1).

Claims 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirst et al (U.S. 5930553), and in view of Terashima et al (U.S. 6538762).

Regarding claim 16, Hirst discloses a method of printing in a system (printing system, fig. 1) that includes a printer (printer 10, fig. 1) having a print engine (print engine 12, fig. 1), a printer controller (controller 13, fig. 1) having a memory (printer controller 13 includes a microcomputer 30 includes RAM device 31, fig. 3, col. 5, lines 38-52, in addition, memory 19 as shown in fig. 1 can also be incorporated into printer controller 13, col. 4, lines 45-67) memory for storing a printer controller program, a host (host computer 20, fig. 1) having a printing software (printer driver 21, fig. 1), the method comprising the steps of:

- automatically (automatically determines whether the stored controller program is loaded, fig. 5, col. 2, lines 32-54) determining whether the printer controller program is loaded in the memory of the printer controller (prior to download and install the new/updated version of printer controller program, the printer controller 13 must determine and test whether the stored programs are valid/existent/compatible, fig. 5, col. 2, lines 32-55);
- automatically determining (automatically determine whether the stored controller program is valid, fig. 5, col. 2, lines 32-54) whether the printer controller program is valid (prior to download and install the new/updated version of printer controller program, the printer controller 13 must determine and test whether the stored programs are valid/existent/compatible, fig. 5, col. 2, lines 32-55);

Art Unit: 2624

• automatically (automatically determine whether the stored controller program is compatible, fig. 5, col. 2, lines 32-54) determining whether the printer controller program is compatible with the print engine, the printing software and printer controller (prior to download and install the new/updated version of printer controller program, the printer controller 13 must determine and test whether the stored programs are valid/existent/compatible, fig. 5, col. 2, lines 32-55);

• sending (cable 15 for sending print data from host computer to controller 13, fig. 1) data to be printed to the printer controller when the printer controller program is loaded, valid, and compatible.

However, Hirst fails to teach printer controller 13 is "external" of the printer 10. Terashima, in the same field of endeavor for print system, teaches a printer controller can be incorporated in the printer, host computer, or can be a standalone/external device (figs. 1-4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Hirst as per teachings of Terashima because of a following reason: (•) updating/upgrading new printer program increasing the printer's operating efficiency and to improve output quality performance; (•) having an external printer controller provides users with capabilities of portability.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Hirst with Terashima to obtain the invention as specified in claim 16.

Regarding claim 17, Hirst further discloses the method of claim 16 further comprising: (e) downloading the printer controller program to the printer controller when the printer controller program is one of not loaded, invalid, and incompatible (prior to download and install the new/updated version of printer controller program, the printer controller 13 must determine and test whether the stored programs are valid/existent/compatible, fig. 5, col. 2, lines 32-55).

Regarding claim 18, Hirst further discloses the method of claim 17 wherein the step of downloading the printer controller program to the printer controller further

comprises: (e1) automatically downloading the printer controller program from the host to the printer controller (fig. 5).

Regarding claim 19, Hirst further discloses the method of claim 17 wherein the step of downloading the printer controller program to the printer controller further comprises: (e1) automatically downloading the printer controller program from a web site (internet website, fig. 5) to the printer controller.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirst and Terashima as described in claim 16 above, and further in view of Austin (U.S. 6665089).

The combinations of Hirst and Terashima as described in claim 16 above does not explicitly teach wherein the step of determining whether the printer controller program is valid further comprises: performing a cyclic redundancy check on the printer controller program.

Austin, in the same field of endeavor for printing, teaches the step of determining whether the printer controller program is valid further comprises: performing a cyclic redundancy check (Fig. 18, col. 12, lines 60-67 to col. 13, lines 1-30) on the printer controller program.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Hirst and Terashima as per teachings of Austin because of a following reason: (•) an additional method of testing (CRC checking method) increase the flexibility of testing the printer controller programs to provide an accurate results.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Hirst and Terashima with Austin to obtain the invention as specified in claim 20.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/25/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2624

• Regarding claim 9, the applicant argued the cited prior arts of record fail to teach and/or suggest "a dynamic loading program for automatically determining whether the printer controller program is compatible with the print engine and the printer controller" on page 10 of Remarks filed on 2/25/05.

In response, the examiner notes that such limitations are not previously cited in claim 9.

• Regarding claim 9, the applicant argued the cited prior arts (us 5930553 and us 5729204) of record are incombinable.

In response, printer controller 13 as taught by Hirst is an intergrated circuit for controlling the printer's engine 12. Printer controller 13 further includes a CPU and a storage device for storing computer program, fig. 1. Obviously, since the printer controller 13 is an integrated circuit, therefore, it can be mounted on any flatform including the inside of a peripheral cable. The examiner includes Fackler reference to show such teachings (a controller disposed inside of a cable).

• Regarding claim 16, the applicant argued the cited prior arts of record (us 5930553 and us 6538762) fail to teach and/or suggest "a method for automatically determine whether the printer controller program is compatible with the print engine, the printing software and printer controller".

In response, the examiner first reminds the applicant that claim 16 is referenced to "a system" rather than a printer controller incorporated/disposed inside a cable. Hirst explicitly teaches a printer controller 13 of fig. 1 for automatically detecting and downloading new software updates for printer 10. Obviously, prior to install and implement any downloaded program, the printer controller must perform a compatibility tests before installing any programs (col. 4, lines 45-67, fig. 5). For example, if the newly installed program is incompatible with the printer, then it is not necessary for the printer controller to download any update programs at the first place (it is not necessary to install something that does not work). The printer controller 13 only downloads softwares/programs that are "compatible" from the internet and/or host computer (i.e. transffering codes pathces via an Internet communication protocol, col. 6, lines 13-21).

Page 9

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Conclusion

- U.S. 6113208 to Benjamin et al, teaches a printing system for automatically downloading/upgrading new/updated version of printer controller program.
- U.S. 6438643 to Ohara et al, teaches a method for determining whether or not the stored printer program is valid, compatible.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thierry L. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 2727439. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 AM - 6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David K. Moore can be reached on (571)272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thierry L. Pham

DRIMARY EXAMINER